Enhancing Hit Identification in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Drug Discovery Using Dual-Event Bayesian Models

Sean Ekins[1, 2*], Robert C. Reynolds[3,4], Scott G. Franzblau[5,], Baojie Wan[5] , Joel S. Freundlich[6,7] and Barry A. Bunin[1]

[1]Collaborative Drug Discovery, 1633 Bayshore Highway, Suite 342, Burlingame, CA 94010, USA.

[2]Collaborations in Chemistry, 5616 Hilltop Needmore Road, Fuquay-Varina, NC 27526, USA.

[3]Southern Research Institute, 2000 Ninth Avenue South, Birmingham, AL 35205, USA.

[4]Current address: University of Alabama at Birmingham, College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Chemistry, 1530 3rd Avenue South, Birmingham, Alabama 35294-1240, USA.

[5] Institute for Tuberculosis Research, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607, USA.

[6]Department of Medicine, Center for Emerging and Reemerging Pathogens, UMDNJ – New Jersey Medical School, 185 South Orange Avenue Newark, NJ 07103, USA.

[7]Department of Pharmacology & Physiology, UMDNJ – New Jersey Medical School, 185 South Orange Avenue Newark, NJ 07103, USA.

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed. (e-mail: ekinssean@yahoo.com)

Running Head: Dual Event Bayesian Models

Figure S3. TB kinase single point model: good features from FCFP_6.

|				
G1: 2117846299 46 out of 51 good Bayesian Score: 2.536	G2: -1748509072 60 out of 75 good Bayesian Score: 2.501	G3: 1507383929 44 out of 52 good Bayesian Score: 2.478	G4: 1709560400 27 out of 28 good Bayesian Score: 2.418	G5: 1151340232 45 out of 59 good Bayesian Score: 2.406
G6: -465936875 27 out of 29 good Bayesian Score: 2.397	G7: -609539162 45 out of 63 good Bayesian Score: 2.355	G8: -1946821836 48 out of 76 good Bayesian Score: 2.271	G9: 9642716 48 out of 76 good Bayesian Score: 2.271	G10: 1662717112 27 out of 36 good Bayesian Score: 2.260

G11: -1298621177
60 out of 105 good
Bayesian Score: 2.223

G12: -936579330
61 out of 108 good
Bayesian Score: 2.215

G13: -1248094339
61 out of 110 good
Bayesian Score: 2.200

G14: -1651220302
66 out of 126 good
Bayesian Score: 2.160

G15: -1633399590
28 out of 45 good
Bayesian Score: 2.143

G16: -1461049343
62 out of 122 good
Bayesian Score: 2.127

G17: 1409643723
79 out of 160 good
Bayesian Score: 2.126

G18: -219979617
38 out of 69 good
Bayesian Score: 2.120

G19: -128762487
38 out of 69 good
Bayesian Score: 2.120

G20: 1935545764
38 out of 69 good
Bayesian Score: 2.120